

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,728	08/05/2005	Josef Speidl	P//3240-102	4308
2352 7590 08/08/2007 OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			EXAMINER	
			MARKOFF, ALEXANDER	
NEW YORK, NY 100368403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1746	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/536,728	SPEIDL, JOSEF			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alexander Markoff	1746			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5,7-16 and 18-21 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5, 7-16 and 18-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to be a controlled to be a controlled to be the Examine and the correct to be a controlled to be a cont	cepted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) \[\sum \] Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Δ\	(DTO 412)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-932) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submissions filed on 3/23/07 and 5/18/07 have been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7-16 and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The applicants amended the claims to recite a horizontal seal.

The amendment makes the claims indefinite because it is not clear what structure is required by the term "horizontal".

It is noted that the specification is silent regarding the "horizontal" seal.

Claims 16, 18 and 19 are indefinite because it is not clear what is required by the step of "locating". Is this step requiring locating of the existing seal or installation of a new seal?

It is noted that the specification is silent regarding "locating".

Art Unit: 1746

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 1-5, 7-16 and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The applicants amended the claims to recite a "horizontal" seal. Such limitation is not supported by the original disclosure, which is silent regarding the "horizontal" seal.

The applicants also amended claims 16, 18 and 19 to recite a step of "locating". Such limitation is not supported by the original disclosure, which is silent regardin "locating".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The rejection of claims 1-5, 7-16 and 18-21 made by examiner EL-Arini in the Office action dated 12-18/06 is maintained for the reasons of the record.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 5/18/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicants amended the claims and allege that Sylvain does not teach a seal between the treatment tank and the circulation tank.

This is not persuasive. In contrast to the applicants' allegation Sylvain teaches a seal 32 provided in recess 33 between the upper tank and the lower tank. See at least column 3, lines 53-62. The referenced seal is placed as claimed and would perform the recited function of substantially preventing the escape of volatile constituencies from the tank.

It is noted that the seal has horizontal dimension and thereby meets the limitation of "horizontal".

It is noted that the applicants allege that the purpose of the seal 32 is different from the purpose of the seal of the instant application.

Without analyzing whether or not such statement is true, the examiner would like to note that the structural relationship of the tanks and the seal in Sylvain are the same as claimed and the seal of Sylvain is fully capable of performing the recited function.

The applicants further argue that the seal is claimed as an element separate from the wall forming either of the tanks.

First, it is not clear what is referenced because the claims are not limited to the argued limitation.

Second, the seal (32) is disclosed by Sylvain as a separate element from the walls of tank (1) and tank (4).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Markoff whose telephone number is 571-272-1304. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/536,728 Page 5

Art Unit: 1746

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on 571-272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alexander Markoff Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

AM

ALEXANDER MARKOFF PRIMARY EXAMINER